



Sophia Davidova, Alastair Bailey& Martin Banse University of Kent, UK and Thünen Institute, Germany

26 November 2021





What is this Task about?

- To increase the relevance of TRAD4SD modelling work for the users
- In essence, to steer better the quantitative modelling towards the priorities of different groups of stakeholders
- This will be achieved through an integrative joint workshop between modellers and key stakeholders







Participants in T4.1

- Stakeholders involvement in the development of modelling scenarios
- Several teams of modellers: CASE, Corvinus University, Thünen Institute
- Two partners experienced in stakeholders engagement -CONFAGRICOLTURA and LUMINA
- Two partners in developing countries- the University of Economics, Ho Chi Ming City (Vietnam) and Institute of Statistical, Social and Economic Research, Ghana







End products

- Due to its relevance T4.1 continues almost through the overall lifetime of the project
- Outcomes: (i)ensuring that modelling results for new policy options to enhance positive linkages between trade and sustainability are useful (and acceptable) for the policy community and agents in the food chain
- (ii) Policy brief 'How to make the best use of model results in trade policy: Insights from stakeholders views'







Start with the first activity: application of Delphi

- In essence, we would like, firstly, to collect the views and opinions of a number of stakeholders and, secondly, to see their policy preferences
- This will be implemented through two rounds of information gathering from stakeholders
 - Addressing the same group of participants in two, consecutive rounds of (webbased) surveys
- First round to collect information about the main issues of trade policy in respect of sustainability and concerns of citizens and policy community
 - > a questionnaire some of you have seen and given feedback
 - it was developed in collaboration between UNIKENT, Thünen-Institute and CASE





Round 1

- First stage
 - Feedback on the assessment of the importance of sustainability with regard to international agricultural trade
- Target group: All members of our Trade4SD stakeholder list
- Structure of questionnaire:
 - 15 questions
 - Form of questions
 - Ranking, open questions with request for keyword contributions, questions with single/multiple choice answers







Round 1

Content

- Relevance and ranking of pillars of sustainability
- Contribution of intern'l trade to sustainability
- Impact of trade policies on sustainability
- Relationship between sustainability and economic development
- Measures enhancing aspects of sustainability on agriculture
- Concerns of different societal groups towards trade and trade policies
 - In different groups of countries (developed, developing and LDC)







Round 2

- Informed by Feedback from Round 1
- Designed to illicit the rank order of a wide, although still limited, range of aspects of Sustainability.
- Recognises first that there are 3 Pillars of Sustainability
 - Economic
 - Social
 - Environmental
- But within each there are a number of components
- We consider 5 components in each 15 in all







Round 2.1 Economic Sustainability

Rate (1*=low importance)						
			***	***		
*	**	***	*	**	Rank	
				1		5
	1					1
		1				3
		1				2
				1		4
	impo	importan	importance)	importance) * ** ** ** 1 1	importance) *** *** * ** *** 1 1	importance) * ** *** *** * Rank 1 1







Round 2.1 Social Sustainability

	Rate (1*=low importance)						
	*	**	***	****	****	Rank	
Societal stability					1		5
Levels of employment				1			4
Provision of public services, health and Schooling			1				3
Social safety nets for the poor			1				2
Income distribution		1					1







	Rate (1*=low importance)						
	*	**	***	****	****	Rank	
Air Quality (exluding climate gasses)		1					1
Water Quality and Access			1				3
Natural capital stock				1			4
Biodiversity			1				2
Climate				1			5







Round 2.1 Pillars of Sustainability

Rank the importance of each of the 3 spheres:						
Pillar:	Rank	<				
Economic	1					
Society	3					
Environment	2					

- Allows us to treat internal rank as independent of Pillar rank
- Next ask whether these Pillars overlap...







Round 2.1 A complete Ranking

Respondent Example:

2nd Stage: Consider Overlap - where the ranked elements of "Sphere 2" may have similar importancte to the

lower rank elements of Pillar 1

lower rank elements of rinar 1			
Pillar Ranked 1			
General economic growth	5		
Security of Food Supply Chains	4		
Productive capital stock in			
agriculture	3 Pillar Ranked 2		
Financial health of food			
processors	2 Societal stability	5	
Agricultural profitability	1 Levels of employment	4	
	Provision of public services, health		
	and Edu	3	
	Social safety nets for the poor	2	
	Income distribution	1 Pillar Ranked 3	
		Climate	5
		Natural capital stock	4
		Water Quality and Access	3
		Biodiversity	2
		Air Quality (exluding climate gasses)	1
		gasses1	





Thank you!

- Facebook
- https://www.facebook.com/trade4sd
- Twitter
- https://twitter.com/Trade4SD
- LinkedIn
- https://www.linkedin.com/company/trade4sd





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101000551